

Pd-Catalyzed γ -C(sp³)–H Arylation of Free Amines Using a Transient Directing Group

Yongwei Wu,^{†,§} Yan-Qiao Chen,^{†,§} Tao Liu,[†] Martin D. Eastgate,[‡] and Jin-Quan Yu*

[†]Department of Chemistry, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, California 92037, United States [‡]Chemical Development, Bristol-Myers Squibb, 1 Squibb Drive, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Pd(II)-catalyzed γ -C(sp³)–H arylation of primary amines is realized by using 2-hydroxynicotinaldehyde as a catalytic transient directing group. Importantly, the catalyst and the directing group loading can be lowered to 2% and 4% respectively, thus demonstrating high efficiency of this newly designed transient directing group. Heterocyclic aryl iodides are also compatible with this reaction. Furthermore, swift synthesis of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthyridine derivatives is accomplished using this reaction.

In the past decade, directed C-H activations have been extended to a wide range of synthetically useful substrates and transformations.¹ Nevertheless, the covalent installation and removal of directing groups often poses a major obstacle for their synthetic applications. In addition to adding two steps to the synthetic sequence, the reaction conditions for these steps are sometimes incompatible with labile functionalities on advanced synthetic intermediates. Thus, developing catalytic directing groups that can transiently bond to the substrate for C-H activation and subsequently dissociate reversibly is highly desirable. This strategy has been successfully applied in a number of Rh(I)-catalyzed $C(sp^2)$ -H activations.² Recently, our group discovered that simple amino acids can serve as an effective transient directing group for Pd(II)-catalyzed $C(sp^3)$ -H functionalization of aldehydes and ketones via a reversible imine linkage, thus demonstrating the feasibility of using transient directing groups for Pd(II) catalysis, although the loading of directing groups is still high (40%).³ Notably, the bidentate coordination system provided by the imine moiety and the weakly coordinating carboxylic acid points to a new direction for our long search for transient directing groups that assist the activation of $C(sp^3)$ -H bonds (eq 1).



Following the success of identifying a transient directing group for ketones, we wondered whether similar approach could be applied for the activation of free amines. Amines are ubiquitous structural motifs in compounds with pharmaceutical, agrochemical, and agricultural importance.⁴ While site-selective C-H functionalization of free aliphatic amine is highly desirable, as it enables rapid late-stage modifications and derivations, this process is traditionally difficult due to the formation of the unreactive $Pd(RNH_2)_2X_2$ complexes,⁵ as well as the vulnerability of amines toward α -oxidation and electrophiles.⁶ Nevertheless, numerous methods have been developed for the C-H functionalization of amines with various protecting groups.^{7–9} An interesting β -C–H functionalization of free secondary amines (R2NH) has also been reported, although a bulky α -quaternary center is required for this reaction.¹⁰ Herein, we report an efficient Pd-catalyzed C-H arylation reaction of free primary amines with aryl iodide as coupling partners under air using a catalytic transient directing group. This development, in combination with our previous transient directing group for ketone substrates,³ identifies imine and weakly coordinating carboxylate or its surrogate as privileged structural motifs for efficient transient directing groups.

Inspired by Jun's Rh(I)-catalyzed aldehydic C-H activation using a reversible imine/pyridine directing group,^{2a} we have previously investigated the development of imine/chiral oxazoline¹¹ transient directing groups for asymmetric C-H activation of ketones and amines without success. Recently, Dong's group reported an interesting example of γ -arylation of free primary amines via in situ generation of an imine directing group with stoichiometric 8-formylquinoline.¹² However, this method is mostly limited to amines containing α -substituents and requires using highly active aryl iodonium Ar₂IBF₄ salts as coupling partners. The use of a glovebox is also necessary, presumably to prolong the lifetime of the stoichiometric imines. The use of a transient directing group to effect γ -arylation of amines with ArI has also appeared in literature recently, albeit limited to primary C–H bonds.¹³ From our previous studies on imine/oxazoline and imine/pyridine transient directing groups, we believe that the strongly coordinating transient directing groups have two fundamental disadvantages for rendering the directing group catalytic: undesired strong bischelation of amino/oxazoline or pyridine with Pd(II) prevents the required formation of the imine linkage; the imine/oxazoline or pyridine

Received: September 14, 2016 Published: October 27, 2016

ations © 2016 American Chemical Society

bischelation with Pd(II), even if generated, is not sufficiently reactive for developing highly effective catalytic directing groups.

Since the combination of the imine moiety and the weakly coordinating carboxyl group constitutes an efficient transient directing group for ketones (eq 1), we envisioned α -keto acids could form similar transient intermediates upon condensation with amine substrates (Table 1). Cyclohexylamine was chosen





^{*a*}Conditions: 1a (0.2 mmol), 4-CO₂MePhI (0.4 mmol), $Pd(OAc)_2$ (10 mol %), TDG (20 mol %), AgTFA (0.4 mmol), HFIP/HOAc = 19/1 (1.0 mL), H₂O (2.0 mmol), 120 °C, 12 h. ^{*b*}Yields were determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture using CH₂Br₂ as the internal standard.

as a model substrate due to its abundancy and relatively high boiling point. Boc protection of the arylated amine was performed for ease of separation and analysis. Our initial experimental exploration was largely based on our previous reaction conditions.³ 10 equiv of H₂O were added to facilitate the imine hydrolysis after C-H activation. We were encouraged to find that trace amount of arylated product 2a₁₉ was detected with glyoxylic acid (TDG1).¹³ A slightly improved yield was obtained when glyoxylic acid was replaced with the more stable phenylglyoxylic acid (TDG2). Gratifyingly, replacing the carboxyl group with an acidic phenol afforded the desired product in 62% yield (TDG3). Since 2hydroxypyridine (pyridone) has been used as a carboxyl surrogate in our previous ligand design for meta-C-H activation,¹⁴ we tested commercially available 2-hydroxynicotinaldehyde as the catalytic transient directing group. To our delight, the reaction proceeded to completion and afforded 2a19 in 94% yield (TDG4). Introducing an electron-withdrawing CF₃ group to the hydroxypyridine directing group reduced the yield (TDG5). TDG6 was completely unreactive, which suggests that the 7-membered ring bischelation is not reactive. Other bidentate coordination systems such as the imine/pyridine and imine/quinoline systems provided negligible yields regardless of the involvement of the 5-membered or the 6-membered ring bischelation (TDG7-8), confirming the importance of the weakly coordinating anionic hydroxyl group.

A series of control experiments were also conducted. The reaction did not proceed in the absence of the transient directing group. Simple 2-hydroxypyridine did not give any product, which confirms the importance of the imine generation. Furthermore, the bidentate chelation mode of the imine and hydroxyl moieties in TDG4 was also shown to be crucial in this reaction, as changing their spatial arrangements provided trace products (TDG 9).

With the optimized conditions in hand, we next investigated the scope of the aryl iodide coupling partners. We were pleased to find that γ -C(sp³)–H arylation of **1a** with a vast variety of aryl iodides proceeded smoothly to provide an efficient access of 3-aryl cyclohexylamines with good to excellent yields (Table 2), which were found to be applicable in the synthesis of potent antitumor reagents.¹⁵ Simple iodobenzene and various other methyl and phenyl substituted aryl iodides are well tolerated, affording the desired products in excellent yields (**2a**₁–**2a**₅). Electron-rich aryl iodides with alkoxy substituents afforded the



^{*a*}Conditions: 1a-b (0.2 mmol), ArI (0.4 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (10 mol %), TDG4 (20 mol %), AgTFA (0.4 mmol), HFIP/HOAc = 19/1 (1.0 mL), H₂O (2.0 mmol), 120 °C, 12 h. ^{*b*}Isolated yields. ^{*c*}130 °C, 48 h.

corresponding products in good yields $(2a_6 - 2a_8)$. Halogenated aryl iodides containing fluoro, chloro, and bromo substituents are also tolerated $(2a_9-2a_{11}, 2a_{13}-2a_{14})$. When 1,3-diiodobenzene was employed as the coupling partner, only one iodide was activated $(2a_{12})$. Electron-deficient aryl iodides bearing trifluoromethyl, nitro, methyl ketone, and ester substituents are all well tolerated, providing consistently good to excellent yields $(2a_{15}-2a_{17}, 2a_{19})$. Notably, reactive aldehyde functionality on the aryl iodide remained intact during the reaction $(2a_{18})$. Furthermore, sterically demanding aryl iodides bearing substitutions at the ortho position are also compatible with this protocol $(2a_7, 2a_9, 2a_{11})$. While arylation of cyclohexylamine with heterocyclic aryl iodide gave less than 10% yield, acyclic alkyl amine displayed excellent compatibility with a range of heteroaryl iodides. Pyridine based aryl iodides with different substitutions such as fluoro, chloro, bromo, and trifluoromethyl groups at different positions are well tolerated, providing 50-70% yields (2b1-2b6, 2b8). Even an electrondonating methoxy group is also compatible $(2b_7)$. Various other thiophene, quinoline, and quinoxaline based heterocyclic aryl iodides are also tolerated, providing moderate yields (2b9- $2b_{12}$).

Next we surveyed the amine scope of this γ -C(sp³)–H arylation (Table 3). We were pleased to find that our protocol was applicable to a variety of free aliphatic amines. The arylation of methyl C–H bonds in simple free aliphatic amines



^{*a*}Conditions: **1**c–**o** (0.2 mmol), 4-CO₂MePhI (0.4 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (10 mol %), TDG4 (20 mol %), AgTFA (0.4 mmol), HFIP/HOAc = 19/1 (1.0 mL), H₂O (2.0 mmol), 120 °C, 12 h. ^{*b*}Isolated yields. ^{*c*}90 °C. ^{*d*}50 mol % TDG4. ^{*e*}150 °C, HFIP/HOAc = 2:1, 30 equiv of H₂O.

such as propylamine, isobutylamine, and 2-methylbutylamine proceeded selectively at the γ position with good yields (2c–e). Aliphatic amines bearing one or two methyl groups at the α substitution are also well tolerated (2f-g). In comparison to aliphatic amines with α -substituent, those without α -substituents are usually more difficult substrates in C-H activations,¹⁶ which presumably is attributed to both the lack of the Thorpe-Ingold effect and the increased susceptibility to oxidation and electrophiles. However, our system is effective in both α substituted and nonsubstituted substrates. Various functionalities such as phenyl, ether, and even additional free amine (the di-free amine was used in 2i and subsequently di-Boc protected) are compatible with this catalytic system, providing the corresponding products in moderate yields (2h-j). Furthermore, methylene C-H arylations of both cyclic and acyclic substrates are achieved. Simple acyclic aliphatic amines of different lengths and bearing methyl α -substitution proceeded with moderate to good efficiencies (2k-l, 2m). Cyclic amines such as cyclopentyl and cyclooctyl amines are also tolerated, affording the desired products as a single disastereomer (2n-o).

To demonstrate the synthetic utility of this reaction, we were pleased to find that the catalyst and directing group loading can be lowered to <5%, thus rendering the transient directing group catalytic (Scheme 1a). When the reaction was scaled up to 2





mmol, the catalyst and template could be further lowered to 2% and 4% respectively. The desired pure arylated free amine product could be obtained in 61% isolated yield following simple acid-base extraction protocols without any further purification (Scheme 1b).

Furthermore, the C–H arylation of **1b** with 2-fluoro-4iodopyridine provides a facile access to 1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthyridine derivatives, which exhibit important biological activities.¹⁷ S_NAr of amine to the pyridyl fluoride spontaneously took place in a one-pot fashion without any additional reaction workup, affording **2q** in 70% isolated yield (Scheme 1c).

In conclusion, we have developed an unprecedented Pd(II)catalyzed γ -C(sp³)–H arylation of aliphatic amines with aryl iodides as the coupling partners using a commercially available catalytic transient directing group. Methyl as well as cyclic and acyclic methylene C–H bonds were functionalized with good efficiencies. Notably, this catalytic system works well with aliphatic amines bearing no substituent and one or two substituents at the α position. In addition, straightforward ring closure by amine nucleophilic addition provides convenient access to the valuable heterocyclic motif of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthyridine.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b09653.

Experimental procedures and spectral data for all new compounds (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*yu200@scripps.edu

Author Contributions

[§]Y.W. and Y-Q.C. contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge The Scripps Research Institute, the NIH (NIGMS, 2R01 GM084019), and Bristol-Myers Squibb for financial support.

REFERENCES

(1) For selected reviews, see: (a) Chen, X.; Engle, K. M.; Wang, D.-H.; Yu, J.-Q. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 5094. (b) Daugulis, O.; Do, H.-Q.; Shabashov, D. Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 1074. (c) Lyons, T. W.; Sanford, M. S. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1147. (d) Colby, D. A.; Bergman, R. G.; Ellman, J. A. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 624. (e) Arockiam, P. B.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 5879. (f) Huang, Z.; Lim, H. N.; Mo, F.; Young, M. C.; Dong, G. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 7764.

(2) For leading references, see: (a) Jun, C.-H.; Lee, H.; Hong, J.-B. J. Org. Chem. **1997**, 62, 1200. (b) Bedford, R. B.; Coles, S. J.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Limmert, M. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2003**, 42, 112. (c) Grünanger, C. U.; Breit, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2008**, 47, 7346. (d) Lightburn, T. E.; Dombrowski, M. T.; Tan, K. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2008**, 130, 9210. (e) Mo, F.; Dong, G. Science **2014**, 345, 68.

(3) Zhang, F.-L.; Hong, K.; Li, T.-J.; Park, H.; Yu, J.-Q. Science 2016, 351, 252.

(4) (a) Nugent, T. C. Chiral Amine Synthesis: Methods, Developments and Applications; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: Weinheim, Germany, 2010. (b) Vitaku, E.; Smith, D. T.; Njardarson, J. T. J. Med. Chem. 2014, 57, 10257. (c) Jeanmart, S.; Edmunds, A. J. F.; Lamberth, C.; Pouliot, M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2016, 24, 317.

(5) Vicente, J.; Saura-Llamas, I.; Palin, M. G.; Jones, P. G.; Ramírez de Arellano, M. C. Organometallics 1997, 16, 826.

(6) (a) Corey, E. J.; Achiwa, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 1429.
(b) Hartwig, J. F.; Richards, S.; Barañano, D.; Paul, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 3626. (c) Wolfe, J. P.; Wagaw, S.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 7215. (d) Sigman, M. S.; Eaton, B. E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 5367. Ryland, B. L. (e) Ryland, B. L.; Stahl, S. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 8824. For a review on oxidation of amine to imine, see: (f) Largeron, M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 2013, 5225.

(7) For examples with an amide protecting group, see: (a) Zaitsev, V.
G.; Shabashov, D.; Daugulis, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 13154.
(b) Neumann, J. J.; Rakshit, S.; Dröge, T.; Glorius, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 6892. (c) Shabashov, D.; Daugulis, O. J. Am. Chem.
Soc. 2010, 132, 3965. (d) He, G.; Chen, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.
2011, 50, 5192. (e) He, G.; Zhao, Y.; Zhang, S.; Lu, C.; Chen, G. J.
Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 3. (f) Nadres, E. T.; Daugulis, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 7. (g) Zhang, S.-Y.; He, G.; Zhao, Y.; Wright, K.; Nack, W. A.; Chen, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 7313. (h) Zhang, S.-Y.; He, G.; Nack, W. A.; Zhao, Y.; Li, Q.; Chen, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 2124. (i) Ye, X.; He, Z.; Ahmed, T.; Weise, K.; Akhmedov, N. G.; Petersen, J. L.; Shi, X. Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 3712. (j) Fan, M.; Ma, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 12152. (k) Zhang, L.-S.; Chen, G.; Wang, X.; Guo, Q.-Y.; Zhang, X.-S.; Pan, F.; Chen, K.; Shi, Z.-J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 3899. (l) Ling, P.-X.; Fang, S.-L.; Yin, X.-S.; Chen, K.; Sun, B.-Z.; Shi, B.-F. Chem. - Eur. J. 2015, 21, 17503. (m) Xu, J.-W.; Zhang, Z.-Z.; Rao, W.-H.; Shi, B.-F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 10750.

(8) For examples with a sulfonamide protecting group, see: (a) Rodriguez, N.; Romero-Revilla, J. A.; Fernandez-Ibanez, M. A.; Carretero, J. C. *Chem. Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 175. (b) Chu, L.; Wang, X.-C.; Moore, C. E.; Rheingold, A. L.; Yu, J.-Q. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 16344. (c) Chan, K. S. L.; Wasa, M.; Chu, L.; Laforteza, B. N.; Miura, M.; Yu, J.-Q. *Nat. Chem.* **2014**, *6*, 146. (d) Chu, L.; Xiao, K.-J.; Yu, J.-Q. *Science* **2014**, 346, 451. (e) Chan, K. S. L.; Fu, H.-Y.; Yu, J.-Q. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 2042. (f) Jiang, H.; He, J.; Liu, T.; Yu, J.-Q. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 2055.

(9) For examples with other protecting groups, see: (a) Espino, C.
G.; Fiori, K. W.; Kim, M.; Du Bois, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 15378. (b) Kim, M.; Mulcahy, J. V.; Espino, C. G.; Du Bois, J. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1073. (c) Spangler, J. E.; Kobayashi, Y.; Verma, P.; Wang, D.-H.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 11876. (d) Huang, Z.; Wang, C.; Dong, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 5299. (e) Topczewski, J. J.; Cabrera, P. J.; Saper, N. I.; Sanford, M. S. Nature 2016, 531, 220.

(10) (a) McNally, A.; Haffemayer, B.; Collins, B. S. L.; Gaunt, M. J. *Nature* 2014, *510*, 129. (b) He, C.; Gaunt, M. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2015, *54*, 15840.

(11) Giri, R.; Chen, X.; Yu, J.-Q. Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 2150–2153; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 2112–2115.

(12) Xu, Y.; Young, M. C.; Wang, C.; Magness, D. M.; Dong, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 9084.

(13) While we were submitting this manuscript, γ -arylation of alkyl amines using transient directing group **TDG1** was reported: Liu, Y.; Ge, H. *Nat. Chem.* **2016**, advance online publication. doi:10.1038/nchem.2606. This transient directing group is largely limited to amines containing α -quaternary centers and not compatible with acyclic methylene C–H bonds.

(14) Wang, P.; Farmer, M. E.; Huo, X.; Jain, P.; Shen, P.-X.; Ishoey, M.; Bradner, J. E.; Wisniewski, S. R.; Eastgate, M. D.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 9269.

(15) Siliphaivanh, P.; Methot, J.; Lipford, K. A.; Molinari, D.; Sloman, D. L.; Witter, D.; Zhou, H.; Boyce, C.; Huang, X.; Lim, J.; Guerin, D.; Karunakaran, G. B.; Bakshi, R. K.; Liu, Z.; Fu, J.; Wan, Z.; Liu, W. PCT Int. 100050, 2016.

(16) In most cases, directed C(sp³)–H functionalization only applies for amines bearing an α -substituent, see refs 7–8. Reduced yields were obtained with amines bearing no α -substituent in refs 7f, 7g, 7l, 8c, 11, and 13.

(17) For selected examples, see: (a) Wang, J.; Breslin, M. J.; Coleman, P. J.; Duggan, M. E.; Hunt, C. A.; Hutchinson, J. H.; Leu, C.-T.; Rodan, S. B.; Rodan, G. A.; Duong, L. T.; Hartman, G. D. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2004**, *14*, 1049. (b) Manley, P. J.; Miller, W. H.; Uzinskas, I. N. PCT Int. 017959, 2001. (c) Nagarajan, S. R.; Khanna, I. K.; Clare, M.; Gasiecki, A.; Rogers, T.; Chen, B.; Russell, M.; Lu, H.-F.; Yi, Y.; Huff, R. M. U.S. Patent 0092538, 2004.